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The Names of God, Their Pronunciation and Their Translation: A Digital Tour of Some of the Main Witnesses
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It is appropriate for this journal to start with a question regarding a text critical rule and an exception. Why is it that in almost all cases of textual variants the most difficult reading is given priority and in case of the Name of God the easiest reading, namely Adonai, the Lord, is preferred?

I. The name of God

1. The standard editions

Most students and scholars of the Hebrew Bible, use Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia (= BHS), the famous one volume (larger or small) of the Hebrew Bible edited by a team of scholars under the leadership of Rudolph Kittel and Paul Kahle and produced by the Stuttgart Bible Society, the Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft. Currently, a group of scholars is preparing a new edition of this text; the project is called BHQ, Q standing for Quinta. In this project, the old Kahle edition is considered the first edition (1905), then, come three editions from the Kittel/Kahle text (1937, 1972-77, 1983); the new edition is, hence, the fifth in its kind. The first fascicle of BHQ has been published

In this edition, the Name of God, more specifically the Tetragrammaton (that is literally, the four consonants) is written without the vowels that it should have had.
Most scholars acknowledge that the Tetragrammaton was probably pronounced as Yahweh. Bruce M. Metzger writes: “While it is almost if not quite certain that the Name was originally pronounced ‘Yahweh,’ this pronunciation was not indicated when the Masoretes added vowel sound to the consonantal Hebrew text. To the four consonants YHWH of the Name, which had come to be regarded as too sacred to be pronounced, they attached the vowel signs indicating that in its place should be read the Hebrew word Adonai meaning ‘Lord’ (or Elohim meaning ‘God’).” He then continues and writes: “Ancient Greek translators employed the word Kyrios (‘Lord’) for the Name. The Vulgate likewise used the Latin word Dominus (‘Lord’). This argument suffices for the rendering of the Tetragrammaton in the English translation, NRSV, with “the LORD”. Metzger states in his introduction: “Careful readers will notice that here and there in the Old Testament the word LORD (or in certain cases GOD) is printed in capital letters. This represents the traditional manner in English versions of rendering the Divine Name, the “Tetragrammaton” (see the notes on Exodus 3.14,15), following the precedent of the ancient Greek and Latin translators and the long established practice in the reading of the Hebrew Scriptures in the synagogue.”

Many introductions to the Hebrew grammar will explain the phenomenon that the Name of God is not to be read as it is written in the text, but as it is supposed to have been written in the margins. Indeed, in the Hebrew Bible, more precisely in the Masoretic text, the consonants of the Name of God are written (Ketib, יָהּ), but not pointed with its presumed vowels (יהוה). The vowels that are added to the consonants of the Tetragrammaton are the vowels of the word “Adonai” (אֲדֹנָי). When the Masoretes wanted the readers to read a word differently from the one written in the text (the Ketib), they notified the readers of the different reading by attaching a circellus (a little circle) on top of
the Ketib, and by writing the related word, which should be read instead of the Ketib, in the margin. The word in the margin is indicated with a Qof, which is the first letter of the word Qere. Qere stands for “what ought to be read”. As the Name of God, however, occurs on almost every page, the Masoretes did not add little circelli on every occurrence of the Tetragrammaton, and they did not provide its alternative reading – Adonai: alef, daleth, nun, yod – in the margin. The Name of God, however, is supposed to be read for eternity using the word Adonai.

The most decisive argument for the replacement of the Tetragrammaton by the alternative Adonai stems from the double expression Adonai and the Tetragrammaton (יהוה יְהֹוָה, Adonai plus the Tetragrammaton, see for instance Amos 7:1; 8:1, etc.). In case of these double expressions, the vowels of the Qere are not the vowels of Adonai, but of Elohim (יהוה אלהים), turning the double expression into Adonai Elohim (יהוה אלהים, Adonai Elohim) instead of Adonai Adonai. According to some scholars, the Masoretes wanted to avoid the repetition of Adonai after the title Adonai, thus avoid the reading Adonai Adonai. They instead filled out the vowels of the Tetragrammaton with the vowels of the word Elohim, creating the reading Adonai Elohim instead of Adonai Adonai. This accordingly proves that the Tetragrammaton was normally read as Adonai.

A small operation, however, is needed in order to read this alternative substitute of the Name of God, namely Elohim. Indeed, in order to come to Elohim one has to first turn the hatef segol into a sewa and second delete the holem – for non-Hebraist readers, this means turning the e-vowel into a non-vowel and dropping the o-vowel. The only vowels that are actually in all the manuscripts – and thus the only vowel that reminds the reader of the alternative Elohim – is the hireq – the i-vowel. This small operation takes me to the vocalization of Adonai in the manuscripts.

2. What does one read in old codices, such as Codex Leningrad and Codex Aleppo?
Most of the printed Hebrew Bibles are based on Codex Leningrad, a codex dated to 1008/1009, located at the library of St. Petersburg. This Codex is the oldest complete Hebrew bible. Most scholarly editions of the Bible are based on this Codex. The usual form of the Name of God, however, in Codex Leningrad is יהוה and not יְהֹוָה.
In other words, there is a holem, an o-sound, missing in the printed form of the Tetragrammaton. The first form, \( \text{יָהֲנָה} \), can be read as the Aramaic noun \( \text{אָסַנְיָה} \), the name, i.e. the Divine Name. The Masoretes, thus, wanted the readers to read the Tetragrammaton as “ha-shema”, in Hebrew “ha-shem”, the Name.

Indeed, like many Jewish readers of the Bible today do, God is referred to in the margins of the Masoretic Bible as “ha-shema”, the Jewish Aramaic word for the Name. The oldest complete copy of the Hebrew Bible does hence, not render the Tetragrammaton with “the Lord,” but with “the Name”! Similarly, Codex Aleppo and the editions of the rabbinic Bible have “the Name” instead of “Adonai”. I acknowledge that there are a couple exceptions to this rule, namely a couple of places where Codex L indeed has Adonai as Qere, instead of The Name (see for instance, Ex 3:2). I am also aware that there are scholars who try to explain the vowels under the Tetragrammaton as a derivative from Adonai. They claim that the holem (‘o’) was deliberately omitted from the vowels of Adonai as to make the reading and thus pronunciation of the Tetragrammaton completely impossible. It is, however, much easier to explain the vowels under the Tetragrammaton as referring to the word “the Name”. When the Tetragrammaton is, however, preceded by the title Adonai, it is read as Elohim.

3. Was the Name pronounced or not?

There is no explanation as to why the Tetragrammaton was no longer pronounced. Moreover, all hypotheses regarding the origins of the Ketib/Qere phenomenon are speculative. In the Jewish tradition, there are plenty of statements regarding the non-pronunciation of the Name of God. In the Mishna Tractate Sanhedrin X,1, for instance, it is clearly stated that the Name of God can not be pronounced. Only the High Priest, more specifically on Yom Kippur, the Day of Atonement, can utter the Name of God. All the other Jews are not supposed to pronounce the Name of God.
From a difference between the Masoretic text of the Hebrew Bible and its Greek (Septuagint) translation, Martin Rösel deduces that only by the time of the Greek translation of the Book of Leviticus the Tetragrammaton was no longer pronounced. According to most scholars this was somewhere at the end of the third century BCE. The Septuagint of Leviticus reads: “And he that names the name of God, let him die the death”, whereas the Hebrew text can be read as “he who uses the name of God in vain, ....”. There is also the Isaiah Qumran scroll (1QIs\(^3\)) that reads Adonai in 3,7 where the Masoretic text has the Tetragrammaton. This means that by the late second century BCE, the presumed date of the Isaiah Scroll, the Tetragrammaton might have been read as Adonai.

The “Qumranites” were vehemently opposing the pronunciation of the name of God. 1QS – the Rule of the Community – reads in vi, 27-vii,1-2: “Whoever enunciates the Name (which is) honoured above all … […] whether blaspheming, or suddenly overtaken by misfortune or for any reason, […] or reading a book, or blessing, will be excluded and shall not go back ever to the Community council”. Thus, beginning in the second century BCE, there seems to have been the beginning of the establishment of the tradition of the non-pronunciation of the Name of God. Consequently, it can be said that up till the second century BCE, the Name of God was pronounced. The Masoretes further propagated the non-pronunciation of the Tetragrammaton and promoted the use of the alternatives such as “the Name” and/or “Adonai”.

II. The Names of God

There are two important collections of data that one has to take into account when dealing with the name of God: The Elephantine Papyri and the Samaritan Papyri from the Wadi Daliyeh. They show that the following names of God were in use.

Figure 3:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YHWH</th>
<th>Yahveh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YHW (or: YHH)</td>
<td>Yaho (or Yahu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YH</td>
<td>Ya</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Elephantine papyri date to the fifth century BCE, the Wadi Daliyeh papyri stem from the fourth century BCE. The Elephantine papyri contain the correspondence from the Jewish officials of the Elephantine community to the officials in Samaria and Jerusalem regarding the rebuilding of their recently destroyed temple. Unfortunately, the responses to these
letters were never found. The Wadi Daliyeh papyri are official documents that were taken into the caves along the river by a group of Samaritans who tried to escape from the revenge of Alexander the Great. Most of these documents are legal papers. In both collections, one can read the name of God as Yaho (or Yahu) and Ya. For the name of God written with three consonants, see for instance, The Elephantine Papyri (= EP) B19, English translation, recto, line 11 (= p. 140, line 9): ... the Temple of YHW... and the Wadi Daliyeh Samaria Papyri (= WDSP) 8, p. 88, line 7: ... to Mikayahu... , the last part of the person’s name is the three-letter name of God ‘yahu’ (YHW).

See image 3: map of Egypt, Elephantine is located at the first cataract of the Nile.


See image 5: map of Israel, Persian times.

See image 6: Wadi Daliyeh Samaritan Papyri 8, p. 88, line 7.

The Wadi Daliyeh papyri offer also evidence for a two-letter form of the Name of God. See, for instance, WDSP 15, p. 104, line 2: Deliyah, the last part is the two-letter name of God, written YH.

See image 7: Wadi Daliyeh Samaritan Papyri 15, p. 104, line 2

The shorter forms of the name of God seem also to be pronounced independently of personal names. The Samaritans thus seem to have pronounced the Name of God as Jaho or Ja. That the shorter names of God were pronounced is also mentioned by Theodoretus. In his work on Exodus, more precisely in Questio 15, he speaks about the pronunciation of the Tetragrammaton. He states that the Samaritans pronounced it lôβé, whereas the Jews pronounced it lô. The shorter name of God is also used in the Bible. An obvious example is the short phrase “Allelujah” (praise to Jah).

Looking at the data, I do not see evidence anywhere in the manuscripts that the different forms of the Name of God were not to be pronounced. There are neither special signs that were attached to the grammata indicating its non-pronunciation nor special remarks made about the different names of God, nor special scripts used. To the contrary, short phrases like Allelujah and the personal names, with the two and three-letter names of God seem to...
suggest the use and the pronunciation of the three- and two-letter, if not also of the four-letter names of God from the fifth century BCE to at least the third century BCE, both in Egypt and in Palestine (Samaria). Now, one could object to this view and state that the people of Elephantine and Samaria are not “true” Jews and thus not represent Jewish practice in Judah. Instead of going into this discussion, I will move to what could be labeled “more or typical Jewish” documents and ask the question whether or not and how the Name of God was pronounced in Jewish circles of the second century BCE and following.

III. Qumran Ways of Indicating the Names of God

1. Hebrew Scrolls from Qumran

Most of the Jewish witnesses from the second century BCE to the first century CE stem from the Qumran community. As not all the texts were written by members of the community, but brought into the community, they can function to a certain extent as witnesses to the practices of Jews in Palestine in general. There are, however, also documents that can be characterized as typical Qumran community, such as the Community Rule. In the Community Rule, there was a prohibition on pronouncing the Name of God. But, if the Name was not to be pronounced, how were the readers informed about this non-pronunciation?

First, in many scrolls from the Judean Desert the Tetragrammaton is written in paleo-Hebrew script. According to Emanuel Tov, writing the Tetragrammaton in paleo-Hebrew script is characteristic of the Qumran community. Tov links the use of the Tetragrammaton with the sacred character bestowed on paleo-Hebrew letters. The sacred character of the letters reflects in a sense the sacredness that embodies the divine name itself. See for instance the Habakuk scroll.

Moreover, the Tetragrammaton in paleo-Hebrew script appears in different sorts of texts, such as: Biblical texts, rewritten Biblical texts, and non-biblical sectarian compositions.
This means that writing in paleo-Hebrew script is a very familiar and common way of writing the Name of God in the Qumran community and outside of it. Tov also points to the fact that not only the *Tetragrammaton*, but also the names El(ohim) and Sabaoth, with or without prefixes and suffixes, are often written in paleo-Hebrew script.


This is an important element, for it shows that not only the *Tetragrammaton*, but also the other names of God were in use and considered special.

Moreover, there are among the Dead Sea Scrolls, many different ways of providing for an alternative for the Tetragrammaton. There are for instance, the *Tetrapuncta*: the Name of God, most likely the *Tetragrammaton*, is indicated with four dots. This system is used in eight texts that Tov identifies as belonging to the Qumran scribal school and three additional non-Qumran scribal school system-scrolls.\(^{35}\) Although Tov stresses the link between writing the divine names in paleo-Hebrew characters and the Qumran community, he also points to the fact that thirty-three texts do not use any special system for the writing of the divine names. These texts simply write the *Tetragrammaton* in square Hebrew characters.\(^{36}\)

2. The Jewish Greek Scrolls of Qumran
The Greek Scrolls of Qumran confront the reader with a complex issue: Are these texts the Old Greek texts of what we now call the Septuagint? Or do they represent a recension of the Old Greek text, that is a revision of the Old Greek text to bring it closer to the Hebrew text of the Bible as it existed in ca. 2nd-1st century BCE? The Minor Prophets *Nahal Ḥever* scroll, dated between 50 BCE to 50 CE, is such a recension. It contains the kaige-recension of the Septuagint.\(^{37}\) In this scroll, the *Tetragrammaton* is written in paleo-Hebrew script.\(^{38}\)

The problem with a recension is that one does not know what is the original form and what the recension. Hence, is the paleo-Hebrew Tetragrammaton secondary – a part of the recension – or proof of the Old Greek text? This debate has not yet been solved.

In Qumran, among the Greek texts, is however also the 4QpapLXXLevb. See image 11: 4QpapLXXLevb = PAM 43.559; fragment 20, line 4, drawing by Kristin De Troyer, based on the edition, see: Patrick W. Skehan, Eugene Ulrich, Judith E. Sanderson, with a contribution by P.J. Parsons, *Qumran Cave 4. IV. Paleo-Hebrew and Greek Biblical Manuscripts* (Discoveries of the Judaean Desert, IX), Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1992, p. 174 and Plate XL, fragment 20).

In this Old Greek text of the Book of Leviticus, the name of God is written ΙΑΩ. Skehan, the editor of the text, suggests that the reading IAW is more original than kurios: “This new evidence strongly suggests that the usage in question goes back for some books at least to the beginnings of the Septuagint rendering”. That the name of God was simply written IAO in the Leviticus scroll is very telling, for it is precisely in the Greek Leviticus scroll that one reads about the prohibition of naming the Name of God! IAO can be seen as a transliteration of YAHU, the three-letter form of the Name of God. This tradition seems to have been known by Diodorus Siculus (1st century BCE) who states that the Jews pronounced the Name of God as IAW. The appearance of IAO in the Leviticus scroll has left many scholars baffled. Is it proof that the Tetragrammaton was still pronounced in the first century BCE?

3. A Note about the scrolls written in paleo-Hebrew script
In the paleo-Hebrew manuscripts of Qumran, the Name of God is simply written in paleo-Hebrew characters.

IV. Data from the Greek, non-Qumranic, tradition

1. A Dominant (late) Rendering
The Septuagint is often characterized as a Christian Bible. The Old Greek Bible is, however, a product by and for the Jewish community. It was only in the apologetic period that the Septuaginta did indeed become the Christian Bible. The Septuaginta, as it was published by Alfred Rahlfs (that is the big blue linen volumes of old days and the more recent one blue small hardcover Septuaginta), is based on especially the following
codices: Codex Vaticanus (4th century CE), Codex Alexandrinus (5th century CE), and finally, Codex Sinaiticus (4th century CE).

In Codex Vaticanus, as well as in the other two most important codices, the Tetragrammaton is rendered by Kurios, more precisely by a truncated form of Kurios, written ΚΣ. Indeed the word Kurios is not spelled out, but truncated. Kurios, in its truncated form, seems to be the dominant name of God in at least the documents from the fourth century onwards, although already in use at the end of the second, beginning of the third century. One can consult the facsimile edition of Codex Vaticanus to see the truncated form of the Name of God. For this contribution, I have made use of the Schøyen Joshua papyrus, dated towards the end of the second and beginning of the third century CE.

See image 12: Old Greek Joshua Codex, from the Martin Schøyen Collection, MS 2648, Plate XVIII, leaf 2, recto, line 7, 17, and 19.

It is often argued that the contracted forms of Kurios are a Christian invention. In the Fragments of the Book of Kings according to the translation of Aquila, the name of God, however, is on one occasion not written in paleo-Hebrew characters, but also truncated as ΡΥ.

See image 13: the reconstruction of fol. 2 v, line 15.

2. Early Exceptions
I already referred to the reading of IAO in the Greek Leviticus papyrus of Qumran. There is also the Septuagint of the Twelve Minor Prophets where God is labeled ‘pantokrator’ whereas the MT has the Tetragrammaton. See for instance LXX Za 9:14. Moreover, there are other texts, which did not originate in Qumran, that use the Tetragrammaton. There is, for instance, the famous Fouad papyrus (P. Fouad 266 = Ra 848). This papyrus has the Tetragrammaton in Aramaic square Hebrew script. There is however also a discussion about this manuscript: is it a recension or not? Emanuel Tov notes: “In PFouad 266, the original Greek scribe left open large spaces for the Tetragrammaton indicated by a raised dot on each side of the space. Then, at a later time, the Tetragrammaton was added, possibly by another scribe, in the indicated spaces.” Pietersma, in his plea for regarding Kurios as the oldest Name of God in the Old Greek text, states that there is plenty of room for the reading Kurios, but that the second scribe
filled out the *Tetragrammaton* in small Aramaic square Hebrew letters. Pietersma follows Hanhart who claims that Fouad already contains some pre-hexaplaric corrections towards a Hebrew text (which would have had the *Tetragrammaton*).\(^{58}\)

More important for this contribution is, however, the existence of several manuscripts that have *Theos* and not *Kurios*. These manuscripts are rather important, especially as (Jewish) pre-hexaplaric corrections seem less probable – though some scholars have pointed to a possible influence of the Jewish tradition on the Greek texts of the Oxyrhynchus area.\(^{59}\) There is, for instance, the Genesis papyrus from the Oxyrhynchus area, (P.Oxy 656),\(^{60}\) dated to the third century. In four places of the Genesis text, the word *Kurios* has not been used,\(^{61}\) whereas the Hebrew text clearly has the *Tetragrammaton*. In one out of the four places, the scribe left an empty space, which a second later scribe filled out with *Kurios*.\(^{62}\) Twice, the second scribe also inserted at the end of the line the word *Kurios*, where there was no space left for the Name of God in the Greek text.\(^{63}\) Finally, in one place the first scribe simply wrote *Theos*.\(^{64}\) In this passage, the MT text reads the *Tetragrammaton* (Gen 24,40). The first and the second scribe both did not use a contracted form of the Name of God or of *Theos*, but simply wrote out *Theos* or – the second hand – *Kurios*.\(^{65}\)

*Theos*, in a non-contracted form, also appears in PFoud 266a, the Genesis fragment (dated to the first century BCE),\(^{66}\) in PFoud 266c, the Deuteronomy fragment, dated to the late first century BCE,\(^{67}\) and in POxy 4443, the LXX Esther text from the 1\(^{st}\)-2\(^{nd}\) century CE.\(^{68}\) *Theos*, albeit in a contracted form, also appears in P.Amh.1, n.3, an Aquila text of Genesis from the third century CE.\(^{69}\)

Another alternative can be seen in the Oxyrhynchus papyrus of Job (POxy 3522, LXX Job 42:11-12). It has the *Tetragrammaton* in Paleo-hebrew script.\(^{70}\)

See image 14 (link to The Oxyrhynchus Papyri Project, Oxford): POxy 3522, LXX Job 42:11-12. column 1, line 2 and 5, the image is produced by Dr. Dirk Obbink and The Oxyrhynchus Papyri Project, Oxford. This papyrus is dated to the first century CE.

Another Oxyrhynchus papyrus, POxy 1007 with text from Genesis 2-3 (late third century), does not have the *Tetragrammaton*, but a double *Yod*, with a horizontal stroke through the letters making it look like a double Greek *Zeta*, followed by a contracted *Theos*. Indicating the Name of God with a double yod has become standard in the later rabbinic tradition. Still today, when dealing about God, Jews write “yod yod.”\(^{71}\) Here, I would also like to draw the
attention to the use of four dods surmounted by four yods in the Qumran manuscript 1QS col.8.72

See image 15: MS 1630, 1QS col. 8, mid column left leaf, line 7 from below.

There are, thus, many exceptions to the use of Kurios in the Old Greek papyri and texts. What seems to have been an important exception, or maybe a trail of an older tradition, is the use of Theos, and this in a non-contracted form, as the oldest rendering of the Tetragrammaton. This has consequences for the debate about the Nomina Sacra.73

As a conclusion, it suffices to say that in old Hebrew and Greek witnesses, God has many names. Most if not all were pronounced till about the second century BCE. As slowly onwards there developed a tradition of non-pronunciation, alternatives for the Tetragrammaton appeared. The reading Adonai was one of them. Finally, before Kurios became a standard rendering Adonai, the Name of God was rendered with Theos.
List of images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fourfold Salutation</th>
<th>The welfare of our lord may the God of Heaven seek after abundantly at all times, and favor may He grant you before Danus the king and the princes more than now a thousand times, and long life may He give you, and happy and strong may you be at all times.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Now, your servant Jedanish and his colleagues thus say:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plot</td>
<td>In the month of Tammuz, year 14 of Danus, the king, when Arsames had the god departed and gone to the king, the priests of Khnum who are in Elephantine the fortress, in agreement with Vidranga who was Chief here, (said), saying: „The Temple of YHW the God which is in Elephantine the fortress let them remove from there.“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>Afterwards, that Vidranga, the wicked, a letter sent to Naphaina his son, who was Troop Commander in Syene the fortress, saying: „The Temple which is in Elephantine the fortress let them demolish.“</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Image 6: Wadi Daliyeh Samaritan Papyri 8, p. 88, line 7

Image 7: Wadi Daliyeh Samaritan Papyri 15, p. 104, line 2
Image 8: 1QpHab, plate XI, line 10 (as the permission for publication of this image did not include electronic publishing, I have enclosed a drawing of it based on John C. Trever, Scrolls from Qumran Cave I: The Great Isaiah Scroll, The Order of the Community, The Pesher of Habakkuk, Jerusalem: The Albright Institute of Archaeological Research and the Shrine of the Book, 1974, p. 81, Plate XI).
Image 12: Old Greek Joshua Codex, from the Martin Schøyen Collection, MS 2648, Plate XVIII, leaf 2, recto, line 7, 17, and 19. © Martin Schøyen, Oslo, Norway and London, UK. Image provided by Ms Elizabeth Gano Sørenssen, librarian of the Martin Schøyen Collection.
Image 14: POxy 3522, LXX Job 42:11-12. column 1, line 2 and 5. The image is produced by Dr. Dirk Obbink and The Oxyrhynchus Papyri Project, Oxford. © Dirk Obbink and the Oxyrhynchus Papyri Project Oxford: http://163.1.169.40/cgi-bin/library?e=q-000-00---0POxy--00-0-0--0prompt-10----4----ded--0-11--1-en-50--20-about-POxy+3522--00031-001-1-utfZz-8-00&a=d&c=POxy&cl=search&d=HASH0137fab2070852dc8e95348d

Image 15: MS 1630, 1QS col. 8, mid column left leaf, line 7 from below. © Martin Schøyen, Oslo, Norway and London, UK. Image and information provided by Ms Elizabeth Gano Sørenssen, librarian of the Martin Schøyen Collection.
1 I would like to thank Ms Mariko Yakiyama, from the scholarly services at the Ancient Biblical Manuscript Center at Claremont for finding and scanning many of the images. Unfortunately, the present author never received an answer from the Israel Antiquities Authority to reproduce some of the images, and hence, had to go back to the drawing board and draw images of the Hodayot, the Ṣahal Ḥever Scroll, and the Greek Leviticus papyrus.  

2 See Biblia Hebraica Quinta: General Introduction and Megilloth, Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2004. For a commercial, good description of the different Bibles, including the first fascicle of the BHQ, see www.scholarly-bibles.com. For a good comparison between the older versions of the BHS, the BHQ and some other projects, see Richard D. Weis’ electronic article in the electronic journal: Textual Criticism: http://rosetta.reltech.org/TC/vol07/Weis2002.html


5 Ibidem.


7 I acknowledge that in the Second Rabbinic Bible, the holem is printed.

8 The Bible of the Hebrew Bible University Project is based on the Codex Aleppo, a codex that is older than the Leningrad Codes, but unfortunately incomplete. See below.


10 The Second Rabbinic Bible is more clear when it comes to the double expressions, more precisely to the Qere: Elohim: it offers the hatef segol, the holem, and the hireq.

11 With thanks to Martin Rösel for providing me with the list of exceptions.


16 John William Wevers, the LXX (Leviticus) expert, writes regarding the possible date of the Old Greek text of Leviticus: “... it reflects the understanding of the Biblical text by diaspora Jewry in Alexandria in the third century BCE”, see John William Wevers, *Notes on the Greek Text of Leviticus* (Septuagint and cognate studies series, 44), Atlanta: Scholars Press, p. XXV.

17 C.L. Brenton, *The Septuagint Version of the Old Testament and Apocrypha with an English Translation; and with various readings and critical notes*, London, 1851, reprint: Grand Rapids, Zondervan, 1978, p. 162. In the 1985 English translation of the Tanakh, however, the Hebrew text of Lev 24:16 is rendered as “If he also pronounces the Name LORD, he shall be put to death”. This indicates that the Jewish Biblical translators interpreted the “LORD” from Leviticus 24:16 as pointing to the non-pronunciation of the Name of God. See *Tanakh. The Holy Scriptures. The New JPS Translation According to the Traditional Hebrew Text*, Philadelphia/Jerusalem: JPS, 1985.


19 For the dating of the manuscript, see Arie van der Kooij, The Old Greek of Isaiah in Relation to the Qumran Texts of Isaiah: Some General Comments, in: George J. Brooke & Barnabas Lindars (editors), *Septuagint, Scrolls and Cognate Writings* (Septuagint and Cognate Studies, 33), Atlanta: SBL, pp. 195-213, spec. 195.

20 Tov only mentions the “exclusion,” and not the following sentence: “and shall not go ever back to the Community council.” I wonder how this second sentence ought to be interpreted. For the text and the translation, see: Florentino García Martínez and Eibert J.C. Tigchelaar, *The Dead See Scrolls. Study Edition, Volume 1 (1Q1-4Q273)*, Leiden/Grand Rapids: Brill/Eerdmans, 1997, p. 84-87.


23 The latter one mostly as part of a personal name.

24 Bezalel Porten, *The Elephantine Papyri in English. Three Millennia of Cross-Cultural Continuity and Change* (Documenta et Monumenta Orientis Antiqui. Studies in Near Eastern Archaeology and Civilisation, XXII), Leiden: Brill, 1996, B.19 (= TAD A4.7 Cowley 30 [Sachau Plates 1-2]) = p. 139-144. See also p. 142, line 4: ... to YHW the Lord of Heaven ...; p. 143, line 10: ... the Temple of YHW the God ...; p. 144, line 2-3: ... before YHW the God Heaven ... . This document is dated 25 November 407 BCE, and was found in Elephantine. It is a request for a letter of recommendation by Jedaniah and his colleagues the priests, written to Bagavahya, the governor of Judah and deals with the reconstruction of the Temple. According to Porten, this is (historically) the most significant of all the Elephantine Aramaic texts (Porten, p. 139).


30 Porten also states that these shorter forms were pronounced. He writes: “the triliteral form of the divine name found in pre-exilic personal names, dominant in Elephantine, and
popularly known in the Hellenistic-Roman period, was confined to the vernacular.” See Bezalel Porten, Archives from Elephantine. The Life of an Ancient Jewish Military Colony, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1968, p. 106.


39 I would like to thank the Israel Antiquities Authority, and especially Mrs. Yael Barschak, for giving permission to reproduce this image. I would also like to thank Ms Mariko Yakiyama, from the scholarly services at the Ancient Biblical Manuscript Center at Claremont for finding and scanning the image. For a preliminary description of Pap4QLXXLev<sup>b</sup>, Patrick W. Skehan, The Qumran Manuscripts and Textual Criticism, XXX (Vetus Testamentum Supplement, 4), Leiden: Brill, 1957, p. 148-160, esp. 157. For the final edition, see: Patrick W. Skehan, Eugene Ulrich, Judith E. Sanderson, with a contribution by P.J. Parsons, Qumran Cave 4. IV. Paleo-Hebrew and Greek Biblical Manuscripts (Discoveries of the Judaean Desert, IX), Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1992, p. 174 and Plate XL, fragment 20, line 4. See also Bruce M. Metzger, Manuscripts of the Greek Bible. An Introduction to Greek Paleography, New York/Oxford, 1981, p. 35.
Handout provided by Frank Shaw, on Diodorus Siculus, Bibliotheca 1.94.2 at a meeting of the Institutum Judaicum Delitzschianum, Münster, 2001.


http://www.bookreviews.org/BookDetail.asp?TitleId=4388.


Note that in these codices, the Greek characters are all capitals; the use of a capital at the beginning of the word kurios is thus a non-issue.


See Kristin De Troyer, Joshua (Papyri Graecae Schøyen, PSchøyen I, editor Rosario Pintaudi, Papyrologica Florentina, XXXV/Manuscripts in the Schøyen Collection, V), Firenze: Gonnelli, pp. 79-145 and Plates XVI-XXVII; see p. 102-103 for the edition and Plate XVIII.

Note that the image provided has the pages in a reversed order, leaf 2 recto is thus on the image right, while it should be positioned left.


54 See section II.2. of this contribution.


57 Tov also points to one error made by the second scribe, namely where h/she forgot to fill out the space. See Emanuel Tov, with the collaboration of Robert A. Kraft and a contribution of P.J. Parsons, *The Greek Minor Prophets Scroll from Nahal Hever (8HevXIIgr) (The Seiyāl Collection I) (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert, VIII)*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1990, p. 12. The scribe of POxy 656 (a Genesis fragment) followed a similar procedure but in this papyrus, not the Tetragrammaton, but the word Kurios was added by the second scribe, see below.

58 See Robert Hanhart, review of F. Dunand, *Papyrus grecs bibliques (Papyrus F.Inv. 266)*, in: Orientalische Literaturzeitung 73 (1978) cols. 39-45. A witness to an Old Greek text that contains Kurios is Papyrus Chester Beatty P367. This text, however, is according to some scholars also a revised text, and thus, the question is whether Kurios is the original reading or the secondary reading. See Ernst Würthwein, *Der Text des Alten Testaments. Eine


61 “A peculiar feature is the tendency to omit the word Κύριος when applied to the Deity...” Ibidem, p. 30. I agree with Grenfell and Hunt that this is a peculiar feature, but I disagree that this was a way of avoiding the term Kurios. I see the use of the uncontracted form of Theos as proof of its originality.


64 Ibidem, p. 32. verso XXIV. 38-47, line 155 – with the article.


I would like to thank Mr. Martin Schøyen and Ms Elizabeth Gano Sørenssen, librarian of the Martin Schøyen Collection, for drawing my attention to this use of the nomen sacrum and for giving me permission to reproduce the image that they kindly provided. The manuscript also uses four dods without yods.


Kristin De Troyer is professor of Hebrew Bible at the Claremont School of Theology and Professor of Religion at the Claremont Graduate University. She specializes in Septuagint and, more broadly, in Second Temple Period Literature and History. Among her most recent monographs are „Rewriting the Sacred Text. What the Old Greek Texts Tell Us